Prevalence of *Corynebacterium* species among Slaughtered Ruminants in Makurdi, Nigeria: A Preliminary Study

Chinedu A. Akwuobu, Danladi D. Haruna, Patience D. Iortyer, Emmanuel O. Ngbede, Levi M. Mamfe, and Raphael A. Ofukwu

ABSTRACT

Non-diphtheritic Corvnebacteria have in recent times been increasingly implicated as the causative agents of various infections in humans and animals. They have also been shown to be an emerging group of multidrug-resistant bacteria. In the present study, we carried out a preliminary investigation to assess the prevalence and antimicrobial susceptibility profile of species of corynebacteria among slaughtered cattle, goats and sheep. Nasal swabs from 207 ruminants (101 goats, 91 cattle, and 15 sheep) were processed for isolation and identification of corynebacteria using standard microbiological procedures. Antibiogram of the isolates was also determined using the Kirby-Bauer disc diffusion technique. Twenty-three isolates (11.1%) distributed into six species comprising Corynebacterium xerosis (n=8), C. amycolatum (n=5) C. mycetoides (n=3) C. stationis (n=2) C. striatum (n=1) and C. efficiens (n=1) were recovered. The Corynebacterium isolates displayed high rates of resistance (31.6 -100%) to all the antibiotics tested with multidrug resistance observed in 78.9% (15/23) of the isolates tested. Coagulase-production was also observed among 8 (34.8%) of the isolates. Our findings highlight the role of slaughtered cattle and small ruminants as potential reservoirs of multidrug resistant and zoonotic non-diphtheritic corynebacteria and thus a need for increased surveillance and characterization of this bacteria group among animals.

Keywords: Corynebacteria, infections, isolates, resistance, ruminants.

Published Online: January 5, 2023

ISSN: 2736-6596

DOI: 10.24018/ejvetmed.2023.3.1.48

C. A. Akwuobu*

Department of Veterinary Microbiology, College of Veterinary Medicine, Federal University of Agriculture, Nigeria. (e-mail: chineduakwuobu@gmail.com)

D. D. Haruna

Department of Veterinary Microbiology, College of Veterinary Medicine, Federal University of Agriculture, Nigeria. (e-mail: drdenthe1980@gmail.com)

P. D. Iortyer

Department of Veterinary Microbiology, College of Veterinary Medicine, Federal University of Agriculture, Nigeria. (e-mail: iortyerpatience@gmail.com)

E. O. Ngbede

Department of Veterinary Microbiology, College of Veterinary Medicine, Federal University of Agriculture, Nigeria. (e-mail: drngbede@hotmail.com)

L. M. Mamfe

Department of Veterinary Microbiology, College of Veterinary Medicine, Federal University of Agriculture, Nigeria. (e-mail: mamlevi19@gmail.com)

R. A. Ofukwu

Department of Veterinary Public Health and Preventive Medicine, College of Veterinary Medicine, Federal University of Agriculture, Nigeria.

(e-mail: ofukwur@gmail.com)

*Corresponding Author

I. INTRODUCTION

There are over 100 species of *Corynebacterium* isolated from humans, animals, and environmental sources [1], [2]. *Corynebacterium diphtheriae*, *Corynebacterium ulcerans*, and *Corynebacterium pseudotuberculosis* are the most important zoonotic and toxigenic species associated with different infectious processes in humans [3], [4]. Diphtheria toxin and/or phospholipase D are potent exotoxins produced by these species.

Non-diphtheritic Corynebacterium species associated with animal diseases include Corynebacterium amycolatum, Corynebacterium aquilae, Corynebacterium auriscanis, Corynebacterium bovis, Corynebacterium camporealensis, Corynebacterium canis and Corynebacterium xerosis [1],

[3]-[7]. Reference [5] was the first to report the isolation and identification of *Corynebacterium xerosis* from animal clinical specimens in Spain. Similarly, Reference [6] reported for the first time the isolation and molecular characterization of *Corynebacterium xerosis* from a clinical sample of an ovine cutaneous abscess in Mexico. Strains of *Corynebacterium bovis* and *Corynebacterium amycolatum* were respectively isolated from milk samples from clinical bovine mastitis and sub-clinically infected bovine quarters [7]. In a similar study, Reference [1] detected and identified, among others, *Corynebacterium xerosis* in raw milk samples from dairy farms in Germany.

Many recent studies have implicated non-diphtheritic Corynebacteria as the causative agents of various infections in humans. *Corynebacterium amycolatum* is the most frequently isolated diphtheroids [8]. *Corynebacterium*

amycolatum has been isolated from cases of bacteraemia, endocarditis, acute/chronic complicated skin and soft tissue infection in humans [2]. Twelve cases of ear infection with Corynebacterium amycolatum were reported by Reference [8]. Reference [9] reported a novel case of corneal ulcer in a 72-year-old patient with diabetes caused Corynebacterium amycolatum. Similarly, Corynebacterium striatum, though considered contaminants, have been associated with infection, bacteraemia, and endocarditis [10]. This species can be considered an emerging pathogen for immune-compromised individuals as there are reports of cases of infection with Corynebacterium striatum in these patients [2], [11]-[13].

Recent reports have shown that some of the non-diphtheritic corynebacteria are emerging multidrug resistant bacteria. References [2] and [14] respectively reported that 86% and 72% of *Corynebacterium striatum* isolates in their studies were multidrug resistant. Reference [2] also reported the display of multidrug-resistance phenotypes to β -lactams, macrolides, clindamycin, aminoglycosides, quinolones, and rifampicin by all the isolates of *Corynebacterium amycolatum* in their study.

Despite the fact that recent studies have shown that the number of opportunistic infections caused by non-diphtheritic corynebacteria is on the rise in humans, especially in immunocompromised patients, and the emerging multidrug resistant corynebacteria, there is dearth of information about these bacteria in Benue State and in Nigeria generally. Documented reports on the roles of livestock in harbouring and disseminating these different zoonotic species of non-diphtheritic corynebacteria are lacking. This present study was, therefore, conducted to assess the prevalence and antimicrobial susceptibility profile of species of corynebacteria isolated from cattle, goats and sheep.

II. MATERIAL AND METHODS

A. Sample Collection and Processing

Using sterile plastic handle swabs, nasal swabs were collected from ruminants immediately after slaughter at Wurukum Abattoir in Makurdi, Benue State for a period of one month. A total of 207 samples comprising 101 goats, 91 cattle and 15 sheep were collected. The swabs were transported to the microbiology laboratory for *Corynebacterium* isolation within 4 hours of collection.

Each swab was inoculated directly onto Holye's tellurite agar supplemented with 5% sheep blood and incubated at 37 °C for 24-48 hours. After the period of incubation, a presumptive Corynebacterium colony that appeared brown to black, round and smooth were picked from each of the selective agar plate and subcultured on nutrient agar to obtain pure isolates for microscopy. Colonies that appeared as pleomorphic rods (straight or curve) with tapered and club-shaped ends, arranged singly and/or in pairs, in a "V" "Y" formation resembling Chinese microscopically were identified presumptive Corynebacterium species, and stored on nutrient agar slants at 4 °C until required for further processing. Species identity was determined using biochemical tests as previously described by Reference [15] with further confirmation using MALDI-TOF (Brucker Daltonics, Germany)

B. Antimicrobial Susceptibility Test

The Kirby-Bauer disc diffusion method [16] with Mueller-Hinton agar was used to determine the sensitivity of the isolates to some commonly used antimicrobials. The antimicrobial agents tested include enrofloxacin (5 µg), gentamicin (10) meropenem (10)μg), sulphmathaxazole/trimethoprim (25 doxycycline (30 μg), nalidixic acid (30 μg), ampicillin (10 μg) and neomycin (10 µg). As a result of the nonavailability of standard disk diffusion method breakpoints from the CLSI for corynebacteria, the break point values of Staphylococcus species were used, while for ampicillin and meropenem breakpoint values of Streptococcus species were used [17], [18].

C. Coagulase Test

Tube coagulase test was performed to determine the ability of the *Corynebacterium* species to produce coagulase. The test was carried out as described in Cowan and Steel's Manual for the identification of medical bacteria [15].

D. Statistical Analysis

Descriptive statistics were used to report the results of isolation frequency and susceptibility testing. The results were presented in proportion and rates in tables.

III. RESULTS

Twenty-three strains of *Corynebacterium* species were isolated from 207 nasal swabs from slaughtered ruminants (cattle, sheep and goats) in Wurukum Abattoir, Makurdi (Tables I–III). Though the isolation rate of corynebacteria was far much higher in sheep than in cattle and goats (Table I), the association between Corynebacterium infection and animal species was not significant (p<0.05). Sex prevalence of Corynebacterium infection shows that more females (12.1%) than males (8.6%) harbour the organism (Table II and Fig. 1). However, the association between *Corynebacterium* infection and sex of the animals was not significant (p<0.05).

Six species were identified from the 23 Corynebacterium isolates, while 3 isolates were not identified at species level. The species of Corynebacterium identified are shown in Table III. Corynebacterium xerosis and Corynebacterium amycolatum were the most prevalent. Corynebacterium xerosis was identified in all the animal species, while Corynebacterium amycolatum was identified both in cattle and goats. Also, Corynebacterium mycetoides was isolated from sheep and goats, and Corynebacterium stationis was isolated from cattle and sheep. Corynebacterium efficiens and Corynebacterium striatum were respectively isolated only from cattle and goat.

The results of antibiotic susceptibility testing for the *Corynebacterium* species are presented in Table IV. The *Corynebacterium* isolates displayed high rates of resistance (31.6–100%) to all the antibiotics tested. *Corynebacterium xerosis* displayed high resistance rates, ranging from 42.9–100% to all the antibiotics tested except gentamicin with

resistance rate of 28.6%. All the strains of Corynebacterium amycolatum tested were susceptible to enrofloxacin and demonstrated a low resistance rate (25%) to neomycin. The strains of Corynebacterium mycetoides Corynebacterium stationis were susceptible to gentamicin and neomycin and displayed a high level of resistance to all the other antibiotics tested. Also, the strains of the unidentified Corynebacterium species were susceptible to neomycin and demonstrated a high level of resistance to the rest of the tested antibiotics. The Corynebacterium efficiens strain was susceptible to nalidixic acid and resistant to the other antibiotics, while the strain of Corynebacterium striatum was resistant to all the antibiotics tested. Multidrug resistance to 3 or more antibacterial agents was observed for 15 (78.9%) of the isolates tested. A total of 14 resistance patterns were recorded for the 19 strains tested (Table V). Two strains of Corynebacterium xerosis were resistant to all 8 antibiotics tested, while the remaining 5 strains were resistant to at least 4 antibiotics. The only strain of Corynebacterium efficiens was resistant to 7 out of the 8 antibiotics tested, while that of Corynebacterium stationis was resistant to 6 antibiotics.

Eight (34.8%) out of the 23 Corynebacterium isolates were coagulase-positive. These coagulase-positive isolates comprise 6 strains of the Corynebacterium xerosis and the 2 strains of Corynebacterium stationis. The other strains were coagulase-negative.

TABLE I: PREVALENCE OF CORYNEBACTERIUM INFECTION IN SLAUGHTERED RUMINANTS IN MAKURDI

Animal species	Total no. of samples	No. (%) positive isolates		
Cattle	91	12 (13.2)		
Sheep	15	4 (26.7)		
Goats	101	7 (6.9)		
Total	207	23 (11.1)		

^{% =} Percent of total number of samples in each row.

TABLE II: SEX PREVALENCE OF CORYNEBACTERIUM INFECTION IN SLAUGHTERED RUMINANTS IN MAKURDI

_	Sex	Total no. of samples	No. (%) positive isolates
	Female	149	18 (12.1)
	Male	58	5 (8.6)
	Total	207	23 (11.1)

^{% =} Percent of total number of samples in each row.

25 **5** 15 13.4 12.5 ■ Female ■ Male S 10 Cattle Sheep Goats Animal Species

Fig. 1. Sex percentage occurrence of Corynebacteria in different ruminant species.

TABLE III: FREQUENCIES OF CORYNEBACTERIUM SPECIES ISOLATED FROM NASAL SWABS FROM SLAUGHTERED RUMINANTS

	Freque	Total		
Identified Species	Cattle	Sheep	Goats	(%) ^b
Corynebacterium xerosis	6 (75.0)	1 (12.5)	1 (12.5)	8 (34.8)
Corynebacterium amycolatum	3 (60.0)	0 (0.0)	2 (40.0)	5 (21.7)
Corynebacterium mycetoides	0 (0.0)	1 (33.3)	2 (66.7)	3 (13.0)
Corynebacterium stationis	1 (50.0)	1 (50.0)	0 (0.0)	2 (8.7)
Corynebacterium efficiens	1 (100.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	1 (4.3)
Corynebacterium striatum	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	1 (100.0)	1 (4.3)
Corynebacterium species	1 (33.3)	1 (33.3)	1 (33.3)	3 (13.0)
Total	12 (52.2)	4 (17.4)	7 (30.4)	23 (100)

^a(%) of total number of isolates in each row; ^b(%) of total number of isolates (23).

TABLE V: ANTIBIOTIC RESISTANCE PATTERNS OF THE ISOLATES

S/No.	Pattern	Frequency (%)		
1	NA+SXT	1 (5.3)		
2	NA+DO	3 (15.8)		
3	NA+MEM+AMP	1 (5.3)		
4	CN+NA+SXT+DO	1 (5.3)		
5	NA+N+SXT+DO	1 (5.3)		
6	NA+SXT+DO+ENR	1 (5.3)		
7	NA+SXT+MEM+AMP	1 (5.3)		
8	CN+NA+N+SXT+DO	2 (10.5)		
9	CN+NA+SXT+MEM+AMP	1 (5.3)		
10	NA+DO+ENR+MEM+AMP	1 (5.3)		
11	SXT+DO+ENR+MEM+AMP	2 (10.5)		
12	NA+SXT+DO+ENR+MEM+AMP	1 (5.3)		
13	CN+N+SXT+DO+ENR+MEM+AMP	1 (5.3)		
14	CN+NA+N+SXT+DO+ENR+MEM+AMP	2 (10.5)		

(%) = Percent of total number of isolates (19) tested

TABLE IV: FREQUENCY OF ANTIMICROBIAL RESISTANCE OF CORYNEBACTERIUM SPECIES

	Number (%) ^a of resistant strains							
Antibiotics	C. xerosis n=7	C. amycolatum n=4	C. mycetoides n=3	C. stationis n=1	C. efficiens n=1	C. striatum n=1	C. species n=2	Total n=19
Gentamicin (10µg)	2 (28.6)	2 (50.0)	0	0	1 (100.0)	1 (100.0)	1 (50.0)	7 (36.8) ^b
Nalidixic acid (30 µg)	5 (71.4)	4 (100.0)	3 (100.0)	1 (100.0)	0	1 (100.0)	2 (100.0)	16 (84.2)
Neomycin (10 μg)	3 (42.9)	1 (25.0)	0	0	1 (100.0)	1 (100.0)	0	6 (31.6)
Sulphmathaxazole/ trimethoprim (25 µg)	6 (85.7)	2 (50.0)	2 (66.7)	1 (100.0)	1 (100.0)	1 (100.0)	1 (50.0)	14 (73.7)
Doxycycline (30 μg)	6 (85.7)	2 (50.0)	2 (66.7)	1 (100.0)	1 (100.0)	1 (100.0)	2 (100.0)	15 (78.9)
Enrofloxacin (5 µg)	5 (71.4)	0	1 (100.0) ^e	1 (100.0)	1 (100.0)	1 (100.0)	NA	9 (60.0)°
Meropenem (10 μg)	7 (100.0)	4 (100.0)	NA	1 (100.0)	1 (100.0)	NA	NA	13 (100.0) ^d
Ampicillin (10 μg)	7 (100.0)	4 (100.0)	NA	1 (100.0)	1 (100.0)	NA	NA	13 (100.0) ^d

^a(%) of total number of each species; ^b(%) of total number of isolates (19) tested; ^c = 15 isolates tested for enrofloxacin; ^d = 13 isolates tested meropenem and ampicillin; e = 1 strain of C. mycetoides tested for enrofloxacin; NA = not available.

IV. DISCUSSION

In different parts of the world, opportunistic infections caused by non-diphtheritic corynebacteria, especially in immunocompromised patients, is on the rise; and these zoonotic bacteria are also associated with important animal diseases. The present study confirmed the presence of non-diphtheritic potentially zoonotic species of corynebacteria in slaughtered cattle, goats, and sheep in Makurdi, Benue State. Besides, Corynebacterium efficiens, which is a non-clinical glutamic-acid-producing species from soil and vegetables [19], other species isolated in this study have been associated with one disease or the other. In human, Corynebacterium amycolatum and Corynebacterium striatum which were identified in this study, have been implicated in bacteraemia, endocarditis and in acute/chronic complicated skin and soft tissue infections [2], [10], ear infection [8] and corneal ulcer [9]. Also, Corynebacterium xerosis isolated in this present study is among the most frequently reported coryneform bacteria causing infections in human [6], [20].

The isolates in this study demonstrated high level of antimicrobial resistance to some commonly used antimicrobials. This finding agrees with the reports of [14] Reference which suggests non-diphtheritic corynebacteria are becoming an emerging group of multidrug resistant bacteria. Similarly, our data multidrug-resistant phenotypes agree with the study of Reference [2] in Romania where multidrug resistance phenotypes were displayed by Corynebacterium species. The antibiotics tested belong to different classes that are commonly used treatment options for diseases caused by Corynebacterium species. The high level of antibiotic resistance and unusual multidrug resistance profiles observed in our study could be due to misuse of antibiotics, such as frequent antibiotic therapy in livestock without recourse to proper veterinary examination and treatment. The findings in the present study also supports the assertion of Reference [21] that it is often difficult to predict antimicrobial resistance for strains of Corynebacterium species, thus the use of antimicrobial drugs for treatment of diseases caused by non-diphtheritic corynebacteria should be based on the results of sensitivity tests.

Reports have shown that some of the non-diphtheritic corynebacteria are a serious threat to a safe food supply and thus can easily be transmitted to humans when exposed to contaminated meat products. Therefore, the presence of these potentially zoonotic and multidrug resistant corynebacteria pose a serious threat to veterinary and public health in the study area. Abattoir workers are prone to infections with these bacteria during handling and processing of meat products; while abattoir units, especially the slaughter and storage units, are invariably contaminated. Abattoir workers and environment in turn become a source of contamination and infection to both human and animals. Corynebacterium species are known to be Psychrophilic and have been isolated from refrigerated food, bacon and retail mutton [22]. Thus, standard hygiene practices should be adopted to reduce or eliminate contamination of the abattoir environment and meat products, and infection of abattoir workers.

In conclusion, the study has provided preliminary evidence for the presence and circulation of multidrug resistant and potentially zoonotic Corynebacterium species among animals in Makurdi, Nigeria and thus the role of slaughtered cattle as reservoirs and source for dissemination should not be overlooked. We recommend the practice of high standard of hygiene in abattoirs be emphasised and the need for research on the pathogenicity and resistance mechanisms of these non-diphtheritic corynebacteria in the study area.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We appreciate the cooperation and assistance of the management of the Wurukum Abattoir, Makurdi during sample collection in the abattoir. We are also grateful to the Department of Veterinary Microbiology, Federal University of Agriculture, Makurdi for making her laboratory available for this study.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

Authors declare that they do not have any conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

- Hahne J, Kloster T, Rathmann S, Weber M, Lipski A. Isolation and characterization of Corynebacterium spp. from bulk tank raw cow's milk of different dairy farms in Germany. PLoS ONE. 2018; 13(4): $e0194365.\ doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0194365.$
- Dragomirescu C C, Lixandru BE, Coldea IL, Corneli ON, Pana M, Palade AM, et al. Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing for Corynebacterium Species Isolated from Clinical Samples in Romania. Antibiotics. 2020; 9: 31. doi:10.3390/antibiotics9010031.
- Tauch A, Sandbote J. Actinobacteria. In: The Prokaryotes. Rosenberg E, DeLong EF, Lory S, Stackebrandt E, Thompson F: Berlin: Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2014, pp. 239-277.
- Oliveira, A, Oliveira LC, Aburjaile F, Leandro B, Tiwari S, Jamal SB. Et al. Insight of Genus Corynebacterium: Ascertaining the Role of Pathogenic and Non-pathogenic Species. Front. Microbiol. 2017; 8:1937. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2017.01937.
- Vela AI, Gracı E, Fernandez A, Dominguez L, Fernandez-Garayzabal JF. Isolation of Corynebacterium xerosis from Animal Clinical .I Clin. Microbiol. 2006: 2242-2243. Specimens. doi:10.1128/JCM.02473-05.
- Hernández-León F, Acosta-Dibarrat J, Vázquez-Chagoyán JC, Rosas PF, de Oca-Jiménez RM. Identification and molecular characterization of Corynebacterium xerosis isolated from a sheep cutaneous abscess: first case report in Mexico. BMC Res. Notes. 2016; 9:358. Doi: 10.1186/s13104-016-2170-8.
- Lücken A, Wente N, Zhang Y, Woudstra S, Krömker V. Corynebacteria in Bovine Quarter Milk Samples-Species and Pathogens. Cell Counts. 2021; 10(7): doi.org/10.3390/pathogens10070831.
- Sengupta M, Naina P, Balaji V, Anandan S. Corynebacterium amycolatum: An Unexpected Pathogen in the Ear. J. Clin. Diagnostic Res. 2015; 9(12): DD01-DD03.
- Sugumaran R, Sistla S, Chavhan P, Deb AK. Corvnebacterium amycolatum: an unusual cause of corneal ulcer. BMJ Case Rep. 2020; 13:e237818. doi:10.1136/bcr-2020-237818.
- [10] Song SA, Shin JH. Microbiological Characteristics Corynebacterium striatum, an Emerging Pathogen. Hanyang Med. Rev. 2018; 38(2): 93-98. doi.org/10.7599/hmr.2018.38.2.93.
- [11] Ramos JN, Souza C, Faria YV, da Silva EC, Veras JF, Baio PV. Bloodstream and catheter-related infections due to different clones of multidrug-resistant and biofilm producer Corynebacterium striatum. BMC Infect. Dis. 2019; 19: 672.
- [12] Syed MA, Ashcherkin N, Sundhu M, Hakam L, Gul S. Recurrent Bacteremia with Corvnebacterium striatum After Prosthetic Valve Replacement: A Case Report. Cureus. 2019; 11. e4670.

- [13] Elkayam N, Urazov A, Tuneev K, Chapnick E. Corynebacterium striatum bacteremia associated with cellulitis in a patient with cirrhosis. ID Cases. 2019; 17. e00575.
- [14] Hahn WO, Werth BJ, Butler-Wu SM, Rakita RM. Corynebacterium striatum Associated with Increased Use of Parenteral Antimicrobial Emerg. Drugs. Infect. Dis. 2016: 22(11). doi.org/10.3201/eid2211.160141.
- [15] Barrow GI, Feltham RK. Cowan and Steel's Manual for the Identification of Medical Bacteria. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2003.
- [16] CLSI. Performance Standard for Antimicrobial Disc Susceptibility Tests. Pennsylvania: Clinical and Laboratory Standard Institute; 2018.
- [17] Cantarelli VV, Brodt TC, Secchi C, Inamine E, Pereira FD. by infection caused Corvnebacterium Cutaneous pseudodiphtheriticum. Rev. Inst. Med. trop. S. Paulo. 2008; 50(1): 51-
- [18] Putranto RH, Sariadji K, Khariri. Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing for Corynebacterium pseudodiphtheriticum. Int. J. Sci. Res. 2020; 5(4): 196 - 200.
- [19] Fudou R, Jojima Y, Seto A, Yamada K, Kimura E, Nakamatsu T. Corynebacterium efficiens sp. nov., a glutamic-acid-producing species from soil and vegetables. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 2002; 52: 1127-1131. doi: 10.1099/ijs.0.02086-0.
- [20] Funke G, Lawson PA, Bernard KA, Collins MD. Most Corynebacterium xerosis Strains Identified in the Routine Clinical Laboratory Correspond to Corynebacterium amycolatum. J. Clin. Microbiol. 1996; 34(5): 1124-1128.
- [21] Soriano F, Zapardiel J, Nieto E. Antimicrobial susceptibilities of Corynebacterium species and other non-spore-forming gram-positive bacilli to 18 antimicrobial agents. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 1995; 39: 208-214.
- [22] Alibi S, Ferjani A, Boukadida J. Implication of Corynebacterium species in food's contamination. J. Coast. Life Med. 2016; 4(5): 416-419. doi: 10.12980/jclm.4.2016J6-26.